

TRIBAL SASP PROGRAM

Tribal Sexual Assault Services Program

January – June 2017

The primary purpose of the Tribal SASP is to establish, maintain, and expand culturally specific intervention and related assistance for American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) victims of sexual assault. Grantees are Indian tribes, tribal government organizations, and nonprofit tribal organizations. They provide intervention, advocacy, accompaniment (e.g. accompanying victims to court, medical facilities, and police departments), support services, and related assistance for adult, youth, and child victims of sexual assault; non-offending family and household members of victims; and those collaterally affected by sexual assault.^{1,2}

- **29** grantees reported this period.

VICTIM SERVICES

Tribal SASP grantees provided comprehensive services to **437** victims of sexual assault and **268** secondary victims.

Victims seeking services ³		
Victims	Total	Percentage
Served	405	92%
Partially served	32	7%
Not served	2	<1%
Total seeking services	439	100%

¹ This report contains selected data submitted by Tribal SASP grantees on a semi-annual progress report.

² Throughout this document, the sum of percentages may not equal 100 due to rounding.

³ Percentages are based on victims seeking services.

Services provided to victims:

- Victim advocacy was provided to **289** victims (66% of all victims receiving services);
- Crisis intervention was provided to **244** (56%) victims;
- Counseling services/support groups were provided to **186** (43%) victims;
- Transportation was provided to **178** (41%) victims;
- Material assistance was provided to **161** (37%) victims;
- Cultural advocacy was provided to **131** (30%) victims;
- Criminal justice advocacy /court accompaniment was provided to **103** (24%) victims;
- Civil legal advocacy/court accompaniment was provided to **80** (18%) victims;
- Hospital/clinic/other medical response was provided to **57** (13%) victims;
- Financial counseling was provided to **32** (7%) victims;
- Employment counseling was provided to **22** (5%) victims; and
- Job training was provided to **4** (1%) victims.

Other services provided to victims:

- Hotline calls received from victims: **323**
- Web-based requests: **5**
- Walk-in requests: **55**
- Outreach activities to victims: **967**

Demographics of victims served	Total	% of those receiving services
Race/ethnicity^{4,5}		
American Indian and Alaska Native	392	90%
White	33	8%
Black or African American	4	1%
Hispanic or Latino	4	1%
Asian	1	<1%
Gender⁴		
Female	367	84%
Male	68	16%
Age⁴		
0-6	28	6%
7-12	52	12%
13-17	57	13%
18-24	54	12%
25-59	230	53%
60+	12	3%

⁴ Percentages are based on victims receiving services for whom this information was known.

⁵ Some victims may identify with more than one race/ethnicity, so the total number reported in race/ethnicity may be higher than the total number of victims served.

Other demographics ⁶		
Rural	323	74%
Disabilities	35	8%
D/deaf or hard of hearing	6	1%

Victims' relationship to offender ⁷	Total	% of those receiving services
Spouse/intimate partner	154	34%
Other family/household member	150	33%
Acquaintance	91	20%
Dating relationship	31	7%
Stranger	25	6%

STAFF

Grant-funded staff primarily establish, maintain, and expand coordinated community responses within their catchment areas. They also provide victim services, including advocacy, crisis intervention, legal assistance, court and hospital accompaniment, and transportation.

- **29** grantees used funds for staff (100% of all grantees reporting).
- **28** full-time equivalent (FTE) staff were funded this period.

Funded staff positions ⁸	FTEs	% of all funded staff
Victim advocate	19	68%
Administrator	3	11%
Counselor	2	7%
Program coordinator	2	7%
Support staff	1	4%

⁶ Because victims may be represented in more than one of these categories, or not at all, the total for this category may be higher or lower than the total number of victims served.

⁷ Victims may have been abused by more than one offender and/or may have experienced more than one type of victimization, so the total for each victimization category (when unknowns are included) may be higher than the total number of victims served in each of those categories. Percentages presented here are based on the total number of known relationships in each victimization category.

⁸ Categories are rounded to the nearest whole number and only categories with at least one FTE after rounding are included.